

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,760	11/15/2001	Kenneth Ebbs	80168-0127	7168
32658 HOGAN & HA	7590 01/28/2008 ARTSON LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE TABOR	CENTER, SUITE 1500		TINKLER, MURIEL S	
1200 SEVENTE DENVER, CO 8			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	·
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/987,760	EBBS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MURIEL TINKLER	3691	

D	elore the rining of all Appear brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	MURIEL TINKLER	3691				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE RE	PLY FILED 14 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
I. ⊠ Tr th pl a tir	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or or is application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the control of the co	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) b) 🔀	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
	DMENTS	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ancause			
3. 🔲 T	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) \square They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below):	·			
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,				
(C	 They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	etter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
(d	They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	•			
	NOTE:(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant, monament	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
6. 🔲 N	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>wo</u> uld be allow on-allowable claim(s).	wable if submitted in a separate, tim	nely filed amendment	canceling the			
7. 🔲 F ho	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prohe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will be w	ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
	laim(s) allowed:						
	laim(s) objected to:						
	laim(s) rejected: laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. 🔲 T b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good are as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and			
e sl	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.							
			•				
		•					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that all Stanton fails to disclose each and every aspect of claim 1. Specifically, the Applicant argues that the folloing elements are not disclosed: a software bus providing common access to each of the plurality of services; the software bus and presentation framework operate independently from each other; and, a plurality of extensible modules. The Examiner disagrees. As cited in the final office action mailed on November 16, 2007, the Examiner notes that Stanton discloses a LAN architecture, which is old and well known in the art to encompass a bus architecture using a network architecture (which allows for the presentation (application) layer to operate independently of the network layer as well as allows for each extensible module (note/workstation/computer) to access the network independently.

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER